



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 34083 of 2026

Parvathi

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
District collectorate,
Ranipet district.
2. The superintendent of Police
Office of The superintendent of Police,
Sipcot,
Ranipet district.
3. The Revenue Divisional officer,
Office of Revenue Division,
Ranipet district.
4. The thasildar,
Taluk office,
Kalaivai Taluk,
Ranipet district.
5. The Head Surveyor,
Taluk office,
Kalaivai Taluk,
Ranipet district.
6. The Inspector of Police,
Kalaivai Police station,
Ranipet district.
7. Balamurugan

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents 4 and 5 herein



to consider the petitioner's application dated 8.02.2025 bearing Challan No. 20250208021835 and survey the property admeasuring an extent of 11 cents in Survey No.259/2A2, 2 cents comprised in Survey Nos.259/1B and 2B (Pathway), situated at Kalaivai Village and Taluk, Ranipet district fix the boundary stones by availing necessary assistance from the 2nd and 6th respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.U.Ramya

For Respondent(s): Ms.R.K.Varshini,
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition is filed to direct the respondents 4 and 5 to consider the petitioner's application dated 08.02.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has made an application dated 08.02.2025 to survey the property admeasuring an extent of 11 cents in S.No.259/2A2, 2 cents comprised in S.Nos.259/1B and 2B (Pathway) situated at Kalavai Village and Taluk, Ranipet District and fix the boundary stones by availing necessary assistance from the respondents 2 and 6. Although the survey was conducted on 13.07.2025, after a period of one and a half years, the official respondents have not completed the survey, citing objections raised by the seventh respondent. Even though the police were present, no steps were taken to restrain the objector, and they left the site without completing the survey. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner has



approached this Court with the present writ petition.

WEB COPY

3. Ms.R.K.Varshini, learned Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of official respondents and submitted that due to the obstruction caused by the seventh respondent, the official respondents were not in a position to complete the survey. However, she requests four weeks time to complete the process with additional police protection.

4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

5. Although the application was filed as early as 08.02.2025, no effective action has been taken till date. Despite a date being fixed to conduct the survey, it was left incomplete on the ground that the seventh respondent raised objections, causing the official respondents to leave the site. When the seventh respondent obstructed respondents 4 and 5 from conducting the survey, it was the official duty of the said respondents to request additional police protection or lodge a police complaint against the seventh respondent for obstructing public servants from discharging their duties. The fact that the police personnel stood by without restraining the seventh respondent reflects a highly lethargic attitude on the part of the police. Furthermore, respondents 4 and 5 have failed in their duties; they ought to have immediately called for reinforcement or



lodged a formal police complaint, enabling the police to register a First Information Report (FIR). However, no such complaint was registered by respondents 4 and 5, which reflects poorly on their conduct and raises a strong suspicion in the mind of this Court as to whether respondents 4 and 5 acted in collusion with the seventh respondent. The actions of respondents 4 to 6 demonstrate complete negligence of their duties for reasons best known to them. In such a view of the matter, this negligence on the part of the official respondents must be dealt with strictly, necessitating an inquiry and appropriate action. Under such circumstances, this Court issues the following directions:

(i) Respondents 4 and 5 are directed to complete the survey with adequate police protection within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

(ii) In the meantime, the first respondent is directed to conduct an inquiry against respondents 4 and 5 for failing to complete the survey and for not lodging a police complaint against the seventh respondent for the obstruction.

(iii) The second respondent is directed to initiate appropriate action against the police constable who was present at the site during the survey, as well as the concerned police authorities, for failing to perform their duties, failing to register an FIR against the seventh respondent, and keeping the complaint filed by the petitioner pending at the CSR stage.

6. With the aforesaid observation and directions, this writ petition stands



disposed of. No costs.

WEB COPY

List the case on 17.09.2026 under the caption "For Reporting Compliance".

20-08-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VM

To:

1. The District Collector
District collectorate,
Ranipet district.
2. The superintendent of Police,
Office of The superintendent of Police,
Sipcot,
Ranipet district.
3. The Revenue Divisional officer,
Office of Revenue Division,
Ranipet district.
4. The thasildar,
Taluk office,
Kalaivai Taluk,
Ranipet district.



WEB COPY

WP No. 34083 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

VM

5. The Head Surveyor,
Taluk office,
Kalaivai Taluk,
Ranipet district.
6. the Inspector of Police,
Kalaivai Police station,
Ranipet district.

WP No. 34083 of 2026

20-08-2026